
Re: Fwd: URGENT: Supervised Access Must Proceed Immediately — Zaria Grillo-Newton

Adam Newton <adam_newton79@yahoo.com>

17 June 2026 at 13:02

To: M Grillo <melaniegrillo3@gmail.com>, roberts.valya@dalhousieplace.com, AccessHN <accesshn@dalhousieplace.com>, Access <access@dalhousieplace.com>, Daniella Bozur <dbozur@ywcahamilton.org>, Family Access <supervisedparenting@ywcahamilton.org>, MinisterMCCSS@ontario.ca, "Lisa - M.P." <lisa.hepfner@parl.gc.ca>, Mehwish Rasheed <mrashheed@empowerlaw.ca>

To all

That chart is defamatory and incorrect I will now be involving the OPP further in this matter.

Ms Grillo has now dragged you all into an active police investigation.

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

On Wednesday, June 17, 2026, 12:55 PM, M Grillo <melaniegrillo3@gmail.com> wrote:


To all parties,

Attached is the bias/conflict chart showing why the "Superior Court ruling" Adam Newton relies on was never neutral. It shows the relationships and institutional overlap between Justice MacLeod, Adam Newton, MHN, CAS, and key witnesses — with no comparable connection network shown for me.

When Adam Newton was verified for sexual harm against Zaria, he had supervised access. Only after that finding was administratively changed — without new evidence or changed facts — was he later handed the power to erase me. No licensed mental-health or health-care expert has ever determined me to be a risk, and Lisa Leiher/OCL was not licensed or qualified as an expert.

This is retaliation dressed up as "alienation." The attached chart shows the connections and facts.

Melanie Grillo
Mom of Zaria Grillo-Newton



----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Adam Newton** <adam_newton79@yahoo.com>

Date: Wed, 17 Jun 2026 at 11:02

Subject: Re: URGENT: Supervised Access Must Proceed Immediately — Zaria Grillo-Newton

To: M Grillo <melaniegriilo3@gmail.com>

Cc: <roberts.valya@dalhousieplace.com>, AccessHN <accessshn@dalhousieplace.com>, Access <access@dalhousieplace.com>, Daniella Bozur <dbozur@ywcahamilton.org>, Family Access <supervisedparenting@ywcahamilton.org>, <MinisterMCCSS@ontario.ca>, Lisa - M.P. <lisa.hepfner@parl.gc.ca>

If the fee issue is resolved, why hasn't anyone asked me to setup Melanie's first access visits? I'm available any day to pick dates as I have been since I submitted my intake in March; Over 1 month before Melanie submitted her intake forms

Dalhousie House and YWCA, please confirm you are good to proceed with setting up access now.

Ms Hepfner previously you chose to speak about our case in parliament. Although you didn't name us; the details you provided were clear that it was our family situation you were referring to. Your social media posts were also forwarded by people associated to Melanie further suggesting you did in fact go to Melanie's house days before the exchange of our daughter was to take place. It felt like you used an altered version of our story to push your agenda around Bill C-223. If this is the case I find it reckless to speak to one side of a story to push a Bill that is viewed as gender bias towards men and removes judges ability to objectively look at a case and determine what is in the best interest of the child regardless of the appropriate parent being a father or mother.

Parent alienation is real, I've been experiencing it for 8 years at the hands of Melanie which was confirmed in a superior court of Ontario ruling and it is a form of abuse and is globally recognized.

As I indicated in my email to you that went unanswered; I'm always willing to share my version of our story and also respectfully debate your Bill. If the truth matters I ask why you aren't willing to support both men and women on what matters most to children?

Finally Melanie, please consider surrendering yourself to the police before this escalates and more harm affects our daughter. Following school buses home is extremely concerning behaviour.

Regards

Adam

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

On Wednesday, June 17, 2026, 8:46 AM, M Grillo <melaniegrillo3@gmail.com> wrote:

To all parties,

Adam Newton's response confirms his coercive pattern.

He signed the service agreement, appeared cooperative on intake, refused payment when services were ready to commence, and now tries to leverage Zaria's access to her mom against money he claims he is owed.

That is extortion.

He has groomed the service providers — both provincially funded Dalhousie and YWCA — into converting his own breach into their service barrier, while shifting attention away from the signed contract he already entered into. That is bait-and-switch: appear cooperative on paper, create a new barrier when contact is ready to proceed, then use that barrier to keep Zaria from her mom.

His bald, unsupported allegations weaponize the same coercive pattern used for 360 days to obstruct every pathway for Zaria and I to see each other: litigation and financial abuse, false allegations, unwarranted police involvement, and the manufactured conversion of love into "risk," while Zaria remains without her mom and with only the withholding parent controlling the narrative of where her mom and half her family went.

This is Adam Newton's pattern, and everyone copied here should be alarmed by it: groom the narrative, manufacture fear, gain control, and force erasure. He is being empowered and protected by the parties allowing his breach to control service and disappear a mom from her daughter. The consequence is being placed on Zaria and I.

The facts remain simple:

There is a court order for supervised access.

All parties signed the service agreements.

Adam Newton breached the fee agreement by refusing to pay his required portion.

I removed the stated barrier by confirming the fee issue will be covered.

Adam Newton's breach and bald allegations are not a lawful basis to keep denying Zaria access to her mom.

Please confirm the immediate next step required to schedule Zaria's supervised access with me.

Melanie Grillo

Mom of Zaria Grillo-Newton

On Tue, 16 Jun 2026 at 16:48, Adam Newton <adam_newton79@yahoo.com> wrote:

To all parties,

I had offered to deduct my fees from the substantial money ms Grillo owes me through numerous cost awards that is in breach of in both the superior court of Ontario and the Court of Appeal.

However there is now an outstanding warrant for Ms Grillo's arrest on criminal harassment charges towards me and the police have been unable to locate her. I am unclear how this could impact supervised access under the current conditions and would welcome someone from those agencies to contact me and let me know if further discussion is required based on this new information.

Regards

Adam

On Tuesday, June 16, 2026, 3:58 PM, M Grillo <melaniegrillo3@gmail.com> wrote:

To all parties,

This email puts all parties on notice that a child has been kept from her mom for 359 days while court-ordered supervised access remains blocked by a fee issue already resolved in writing. Dalhousie and YWCA have confirmed that Adam Newton's refusal to pay his required portion is the stated barrier, and I have confirmed that the fee barrier will be covered.

There is a court order for supervised access. The same order also permits parenting time "as otherwise agreed in writing."

Dalhousie and YWCA have already confirmed in writing that Adam (Edwin) Newton's refusal to pay his required portion is the barrier to service. He signed the fee agreement. No court order or written agreement exempts him from paying. It is for Dalhousie and YWCA to enforce the contracts he signed with them, not to deny Zaria and me service because he refuses to comply.

Attached are the YWCA May 21, 2026 letter and Dalhousie May 26, 2026 letter confirming Adam Newton's fee refusal as the stated barrier to service.

Requiring me to obtain another court order or sign a separate side agreement with Adam Newton is unreasonable and unacceptable. There is already a court order requiring supervised access. There are already signed service agreements requiring shared fees unless a court order or written agreement says otherwise. Adam Newton does not get to sign the intake documents, appear cooperative, and then obstruct the actual delivery of service by refusing to pay when it is time for Zaria to see her mom.

This is a pattern of coercive control being enabled through administrative delay. It shifts the burden onto me to fix Adam Newton's breach, while Zaria remains cut off from her mom. Requiring further court proceedings over a \$5 to \$25 visit fee would cost exponentially more than the fee itself, create further delay, and reward the very obstruction these services are supposed to prevent.

I have confirmed in writing that Adam Newton's refusal to pay will be covered so that his non-payment is not used as another excuse to keep Zaria from her mom. There is therefore no legitimate reason for supervised access to remain blocked.

The human consequence is this: for 359 days, Zaria has been kept from her mom and maternal family. Every attempt to let my child know that we are still here, that we love her, and that we are still fighting our way back to her has been blocked, obstructed, or escalated beyond common sense.

Our attempts to bring a teddy bear, a card, flowers, balloons, and all basic gestures of love to Zaria have been misrepresented by Adam Newton and folded into his narrative that my family's love and reassurance are a threat. He then escalates to police, forcing Zaria to witness love from her mom and family being turned into fear, conflict, and police involvement. This is the actual alienation: the parent withholding the child reframing love as danger, blocking every path to contact, and using manufactured fear to sever a child from her mom. That is not safety. That is coercive control.

Adam Newton has spent years grooming the narrative and grooming service providers, institutions, and bystanders, while his obstruction is repeatedly treated as cooperation. That is the hypocrisy: he is allowed to appear compliant by signing intake documents, then block the actual service by refusing to pay when it is time for Zaria to see her mom. This is exactly why supervised access services exist: to prevent one parent from using control, obstruction, escalation, or refusal to pay as a mechanism to sever a child from the other parent.

This is also why I am copying elected representatives and child-safety advocates. "Alienation" is a real experience, but the branding of "parental alienation" is also being weaponized in family court to deflect from credible abuse disclosures, punish protective parents, and hand more power to the parent actually isolating the child. In this case, the parent withholding Zaria is now being allowed to use fee refusal, administrative delay, and manufactured fear to continue the very severing of parent-child contact that supervised access services are supposed to prevent. That is not protection. That is institutional betrayal.

Dalhousie and YWCA cannot continue to rely on Adam Newton's non-payment as a barrier after I have confirmed the fee issue will be covered.

If either agency is unwilling to proceed immediately, then Dalhousie, YWCA, or the Ministry must urgently identify an alternate publicly funded provider responsible for ensuring this court-ordered access proceeds now.

To the Ministry: these programs exist to provide timely, safe, supervised access. A publicly funded access system that allows one parent to block contact for nearly a year through refusal to pay, contractual breach, and obstruction is not functioning. This requires immediate intervention.

A child should not remain severed from her mom for another day because a provincially funded access system is allowing one parent's fee refusal to control service.

Please confirm today the immediate next step to schedule Zaria's supervised access with me.

Melanie Grillo
Mom of Zaria Grillo-Newton